RIM genes differentially contribute to organizing presynaptic release sites
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Tight coupling of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels to the presynaptic active zone is critical for fast synchronous neurotransmitter release. RIMs are multidomain proteins that tether Ca\(^{2+}\) channels to active zones, dock and prime synaptic vesicles for release, and mediate presynaptic plasticity. Here, we use conditional knockout mice targeting all RIM isoforms expressed by the Rims1 and Rims2 genes to examine the contributions and mechanism of action of different RIMs in neurotransmitter release. We show that acute single deletions of each Rims gene decreased release and impaired vesicle priming but did not alter the extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\)-responsiveness of release (which for Rims gene mutants is a measure of presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) influx). Moreover, single deletions did not affect the synchronization of release (which depends on the close proximity of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels to release sites). In contrast, deletion of both Rims genes severely impaired the Ca\(^{2+}\) responsiveness and synchronization of release. RIM proteins may act on Ca\(^{2+}\) channels in two modes: They tether Ca\(^{2+}\) channels to active zones, and they directly modulate Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel inactivation. The first mechanism is essential for localizing presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) influx to nerve terminals, but the role of the second mechanism remains unknown. Strikingly, we find that although the RIM2 C2B domain by itself significantly decreased Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel inactivation in transfected HEK293 cells, it did not rescue any aspect of the RIM knockout phenotype in cultured neurons. Thus, RIMs primarily act in release as physical Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel tetherers and not as Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel modulators. Different RIM proteins compensate for each other in recruiting Ca\(^{2+}\) channels to active zones, but contribute independently and incrementally to vesicle priming.

In a presynaptic nerve terminal, Ca\(^{2+}\) triggers synaptic vesicle exocytosis at specialized sites called active zones. Among the major active zone proteins (e.g., RIMs, α-liprins, ELKS’s, RIM-BPs, Piccolo/Bassoon, and Munc13’s), RIMs stand out because they bind to all other components of active zones and are involved in all central aspects of neurotransmitter release (1, 2). In vertebrates, two RIM genes (Rims1 and Rims2) synthesize five principal RIM isoforms from independent promoters (RIM1α, RIM1β, RIM2α, RIM2β, and RIM2γ; Fig. L4); these isoforms are further diversified by alternative splicing (3–5). Moreover, two additional RIM genes (Rims3 and Rims4) produce only γ-isosforms, which are not further considered here. Gene deletion experiments (Table S1) showed that RIMs are essential for multiple aspects of neurotransmitter release (4, 6–10) and for presynaptic short- and long-term plasticity (4, 6, 11–13). However, how different RIM isoforms contribute to neurotransmitter release is unclear.

Recent studies revealed that RIMs regulate presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) channels via two independent mechanisms, namely by recruiting Ca\(^{2+}\) channels to active zones (14) and by modulating Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel opening times (15, 16). The first activity is mediated by a tripartite complex of RIMs, RIM-BPs, and Ca\(^{2+}\) channels in which the RIM PDZ domains directly bind to the C-termini of N- and P/Q-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels, the RIM PxxP-sequences bind to RIM-BPs, and RIM-BPs, in turn, directly bind to the C-termini of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (14, 17, 18). The second activity is mediated by the RIM C2B-domain, possibly by binding to β4 subunits of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (15, 16). However, the relative contributions of different RIM isoforms and of their interactions with Ca\(^{2+}\) channels are unknown. In particular, although the Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel tethering activity of RIMs was shown to be essential for normal presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) influx, the physiological role of the Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel modulation by RIMs has not been tested.

Here, we have systematically dissected the contributions of (i) the Rims1 and Rims2 gene products, and (ii) the different Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel functions of RIM proteins to neurotransmitter release. Using electrophysiological analyses in conditional knockout mice, we demonstrate that both the RIM1 and the RIM2 proteins contribute to neurotransmitter release. Whereas single Rims1 and Rims2 deletions alone impaired priming and neurotransmitter release, Ca\(^{2+}\) influx as monitored by the Ca\(^{2+}\) dependence of release is not affected, but is severely impaired by the double deletion. Moreover, we show that although RIM C2B domains modulate Ca\(^{2+}\)-channel opening in transfected cells in vitro, the loss of this activity does not detectably contribute to the impairment in Ca\(^{2+}\) influx and neurotransmitter release caused by the double KO of the Rims1 and Rims2 genes in vivo.

Results

Functional Redundancy Among RIM Proteins in Ca\(^{2+}\) Influx. Previous studies suggested that RIM proteins redundantly enable synaptic vesicle exocytosis (4, 10), but the extent to which the different RIM isoforms contribute to release has not been established. To address this question, we examined neurotransmitter release in cultured neurons in which the Rims1 gene encoding RIM1α and RIM1β and the Rims2 gene encoding RIM2α, RIM2β, and RIM2γ were acutely deleted either alone or together. In these experiments, we restricted our analyses to inhibitory synapses for two reasons: (i) we showed that excitatory and inhibitory synaptic transmission are similarly affected in RIM double-deficient neurons (14, 19), suggesting that the phenotype observed in inhibitory synapses likely applies to all synapses; and (ii) inhibitory synaptic responses are more precisely analyzed in cultured neurons than excitatory responses because they are not contaminated by recurrent network activity (20).

We cultured primary hippocampal neurons from newborn homozygous conditional Rims1, Rims2, and double Rims1/2 KO mice (4, 14) and infected the neurons with lentiviruses expressing active or inactive mutant cre-recombinase (referred to as cre and control in all figures). Active and inactive cre were expressed as
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RIM proteins are highly redundant functionally in mediating the Ca2+ dependence, speed, and synchrony of IPSCs in cultured hippocampal neurons (14, 18). When we analyzed the rise times and synchrony of single IPSCs, we found that similar to the Ca2+ dependence of release, each single Rims1 or Rims2 gene deletion had no effect on either parameter (Fig. 2). In contrast, the deletion of both genes produced a major change in both parameters. Moreover, a similar pattern of redundancy was found for the time constants of release as measured by fits of a two-component exponential function to the IPSCs (26) (Fig. S1). In summary, RIM proteins are highly redundant functionally in mediating the Ca2+ dependence, speed, and synchrony of release, such that individual RIM proteins can largely provide all of the function needed. Strikingly, however, Rims genes exhibit different degrees of redundancy for different synaptic parameters, conditional Rims1 or Rims2 KO mice as RIM1- or RIM2-deficient neurons, whereas neurons from conditional Rims1/2 double KO mice will be called RIM1/2 double-deficient neurons.

RIMs localize Ca2+ influx to active zones adjacent to the sites of synaptic vesicle exocytosis by tethering Ca2+ channels via their PDZ domains and PxxP sequences (14, 18), and they additionally modulate Ca2+-channel function, possibly via an indirect interaction with β4 subunits (15, 16, 23). To determine the redundancy among RIM isoforms in supporting Ca2+-triggered neurotransmitter release and in sustaining presynaptic Ca2+ influx, we monitored the Ca2+ dependence of neurotransmitter release. We previously showed that in experiments measuring the size of IPSCs as a function of the extracellular Ca2+ concentration ([Ca2+]ex), one can differentiate between parameters governing the overall release process as monitored by the IPSC amplitude (which depends on properties such as vesicle docking and priming in addition to Ca2+ triggering) and parameters determining the Ca2+ dependence of release (which depends on the specific properties of the Ca2+-triggering reaction, such as Ca2+ influx into the nerve terminal, the Ca2+-sensor synaptopagmin, SNARE-complex assembly, and intracellular Ca2+ dynamics; see refs. 14, 24, and 25). Moreover, we showed that in the case of RIM1/2 double-deficient neurons, the decrease in overall IPSC amplitude is due to changes in multiple presynaptic parameters, but that the impairment in the Ca2+ dependence of release, as monitored by its apparent Ca2+ ex affinity, is due to changes in presynaptic Ca2+ influx during an action potential (14, 18). Thus, measurements of the IPSC amplitude as a function of [Ca2+]ex can be used in RIM-deficient neurons to monitor overall release and Ca2+ influx.

We first measured the IPSC amplitude in RIM1- and RIM2-deficient and in RIM1/2 double-deficient neurons as a function of [Ca2+]ex (Fig. 1 B and C). At all [Ca2+]ex values, we observed a modest decrease in the IPSC amplitude in neurons lacking either only RIM1 or RIM2 (∼20–30%), and a massive decrease in RIM1/2 double-deficient neurons (∼75%). Thus, both Rims genes contribute to release.

We then normalized the IPSC amplitudes to that observed at 10 mM [Ca2+]ex and fitted the data of individual experiments to a Hill function (24). RIM1- or RIM2-deficient neurons exhibited no change in the [Ca2+]ex dependence of release, evidenced by the complete overlap between the fitted curves from KO and control neurons (Fig. 1 C, Lower). However, and similar to what we showed before (14), RIM1/2 double-deficient neurons displayed a shift of the [Ca2+]ex dependence of release to higher Ca2+ concentrations (Fig. 1 C). The Hill function fits revealed that RIM1/2 double-deficient neurons exhibited a twofold increase in the half-maximal effective extracellular Ca2+ concentration (EC50) for release, consistent with a loss of Ca2+ channels from the active zone, but did not uncover a change in the apparent Ca2+ cooperativity n of release (Fig. 1 D). Thus, the Rims1 and Rims2 genes can fully compensate for each other in recruiting Ca2+ channels to active zones.

The speed and synchrony of IPSCs in cultured hippocampal neurons depend on presynaptic Ca2+ influx and are impaired in RIM1/2 double-deficient neurons (14, 18). When we analyzed the rise times and synchrony of single IPSCs, we found that similar to the Ca2+ dependence of release, each single Rims1 or Rims2 gene deletion had no effect on either parameter (Fig. 2). In contrast, the deletion of both genes produced a major change in both parameters. Moreover, a similar pattern of redundancy was found for the time constants of release as measured by fits of a two-component exponential function to the IPSCs (26) (Fig. S1). In summary, RIM proteins are highly redundant functionally in mediating the Ca2+ dependence, speed, and synchrony of release, such that individual RIM proteins can largely provide all of the function needed. Strikingly, however, Rims genes exhibit different degrees of redundancy for different synaptic parameters.
Fig. 2. Kinetic analysis of IPSCs in RIM-deficient neurons. Release was measured in response to single action potentials in cultured RIM1αβγ, RIM2αβγ, and RIM1αβγ/RIM2αβγ neurons expressing inactive (control) or active cre recombinase (cre). (A) Sample traces of the initial phase of isolated evoked IPSCs in floxed RIM1αβγ, RIM2αβγ, and RIM1αβγ/RIM2αβγ neurons expressing inactive (control) or active cre recombinase (cre). Each trace shows five consecutive sweeps from the same neuron; note the different y scale for the different conditions. (B and C) Analyses of 20–80% rise time (B) and of the SD of the 20–80% rise time (C) as a measure of synchrony in control and RIM-deficient neurons. Data shown are means ± SEMs. Statistical significance (**P < 0.01) was determined by Student’s t test (D). RIM1αβγ: control, n = 15 cells in 3 independent batches of cultures; cre, n = 15/3; RIM2αβγ: control, n = 12/3; cre, n = 14/3; RIM1αβγ/RIM2αβγ: control, n = 10/3; cre, n = 11/3.

Fig. 3. Comparative analysis of the effects of single and double RIM1αβγ and RIM2αβγ deletions on release induced by 10-Hz stimulus trains. Release was measured in response to trains with 2–20 action potentials that were elicited by focal stimulation at 10 Hz in RIM1αβγ, RIM2αβγ, and RIM1αβγ/RIM2αβγ neurons expressing inactive (control) or active cre recombinase (cre). (A) Sample traces of IPSCs in response to a train of 20 stimuli. (B) Summary graphs of the synaptic charge transfer evoked by the first action potential in trains of 2, 5, 10, and 20 action potentials. (C) Summary graphs of the total charge transfer during each entire stimulus train. (D) Plots of the ratios of the evoked amplitudes of the last to the first response in each train. (E) Summary graphs of delayed release, measured as the charge transfer starting 100 ms after the last action potential was applied in the train. Data are shown as means ± SEMs. Statistical significance (**P < 0.01) was determined by one-way ANOVA (RIM1αβγ: control, n = 6; RIM2αβγ: control, n = 9/3; cre, n = 9/3; RIM1αβγ/RIM2αβγ: control, n = 10/3; cre, n = 14/3).

such that they completely compensate for each other in sustaining normal Ca2+ influx at the active zone, but only partially in mediating overall Ca2+-triggered release.

Role of RIM Proteins in Different Forms of Evoked and Spontaneous Release. We measured synaptic responses during and after trains of 2–20 stimuli applied at 10 Hz (Fig. 3A–D). During a stimulus train, residual Ca2+ accumulates in presynaptic nerve terminals but vesicles in the RRP become depleted. We measured four parameters: (i) the synaptic charge transfer induced by the first action potential to monitor synchronous release (Fig. 3B), (ii) the charge transfer transmitted during the entire train to monitor overall release (Fig. 3C), (iii) the ratio of the last to first IPSC amplitudes to monitor short-term plasticity (Fig. 3D), and (iv) the synaptic charge transfer during “delayed release” (a form of asynchronous neurotransmitter release that is defined as starting 100 ms after the last action potential (Fig. 3E; ref. 27).

Both the RIM1 and the RIM2 single KOs impaired release throughout the train independent of the stimulus number, although the RIM1/2 double KO had a much more severe effect (Fig. 3 A–C). Moreover, both the RIM1 single KO and the RIM1/RIM2 double KO altered short-term plasticity by facilitating release, as would be expected with a decreased release probability. The RIM2 single KO had no effect, although it did exhibit a decrease in release (Fig. 3 B and D and Fig. S2). Interestingly, both single RIM KOs dramatically impaired delayed release, with the RIM1/2 double KO being no more deleterious to delayed release than each single KO (Fig. 3E). This result indicates that delayed release depends more on the release machinery (which is affected in single KOs) than on Ca2+ influx (which is not).

We next characterized spontaneous neurotransmitter release by measuring miniature excitatory postsynaptic currents and inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mEPSCs and mIPSCs, respectively; Fig. S3). Consistent with previous studies (19), we find that the deletion of RIM1 or of RIM2 leads to a reduction of the mEPSC and mIPSC frequencies (Fig. S3). The average amplitudes of mEPSCs and mIPSCs were unchanged, although there were small deviations in the cumulative distribution of mIPSC amplitudes. These data suggest a dose-dependent effect of the RIM deletions on spontaneous presynaptic neurotransmitter release, with no major changes in postsynaptic neurotransmitter reception.

Priming is a process at the presynaptic active zone that transforms vesicles into a ready-to-fuse state. To evaluate the
effect of RIM deletions on synaptic vesicle priming, we measured postsynaptic responses evoked by a local application of hypertonic sucrose (0.5 M) for 30 s (28). In RIM1/2 double-deficient neurons, the RRP is massively decreased because RIM proteins mediate synaptic vesicle priming by inhibiting inactive Munc13 homodimers (14, 19, 29). We here found that similar to evoked IPSCs and spontaneous release, deletion of individual RIM genes decreased the RRP size (Fig. S4), although the size of the decrease is less than that observed with the double deletion (14, 19, 29). This experiment suggests that RIM1 and RIM2 promote priming in an additive fashion and cannot compensate in priming for each other’s loss.

**RIM2** Modulates Ca²⁺-Channel Properties in Vitro but Does Not Contribute Significantly to RIM Function in Vivo. In addition to their Ca²⁺-channel tethering function via their PDZ domains (14), RIMs have been shown to inhibit voltage-dependent inactivation of Ca²⁺-channel opening via their C₂B domains (15, 16, 23). Thus, we set out to test whether this mechanism contributes to the neurotransmitter release phenotype produced by the RIM1/2 double KO.

We first coexpressed in transfected HEK293T cells the α₁-subunits of rat N-type (CaV2.2) and L-type (CaV1.2) Ca²⁺ channels with the auxiliary rat α2δ and the human β4 subunits and with either control vector DNA or expression vectors encoding full-length rat RIM1α or RIM2γ (a RIM2 isoform that consists of only the RIM2 C₂B-domain preceded by a short, C₂A sequence (Fig. 1 A)—refs. 3, 5, and 14). We then measured the electrophysiological properties of the Ca²⁺ channels as a function of the coexpressed RIM proteins.

In agreement with previous results (15, 16, 23), cotransfection of RIM1α or of RIM2γ with the N-type Ca²⁺ channels did not alter current-voltage (I-V) relationship and activation of N-type Ca²⁺ channels (Fig. 4 A–E), but significantly shifted the inactivation curve to higher voltage (Fig. 4 F and G). Because this effect was equally observed with RIM1α and RIM2γ, the RIM C₂B-domain must be responsible for RIM’s effect on Ca²⁺-channel inactivation. However, neither RIM1α nor RIM2γ altered L-type Ca²⁺-channel inactivation (Fig. 4 H–N). Because the only difference between the experiments with the N- and L-type Ca²⁺ channels is the identity of the α₁-subunit, RIM proteins likely act directly on the α₁-subunit of the N-type Ca²⁺ channel to change its inactivation properties (Fig. 4).

Finally, we tested whether the loss of Ca²⁺-channel modulation by RIMs contributes to the RIM1/2 double KO phenotype. However, we found that RIM2γ—which fully mediates the modulation of Ca²⁺-channel inactivation by RIM proteins (Fig. 4)—was unable to rescue any facet of the RIM1/2 double KO phenotype (Fig. 5). Specifically, RIM2γ did not increase single evoked IPSCs or the Ca²⁺-dependence of release (Figs. 5 A–D). Moreover, RIM2γ did not ameliorate the decrease in the RRP observed in RIM1/2 double-deficient neurons (Figs. 5 E and F). Loss of rescue effect by RIM2γ is in strong contrast to the release-boosting effect of the double C₂A/B-domain fragment of RIM1 that we reported (14), indicating that the loss of the inhibition of Ca²⁺-channel inactivation by the RIM C₂B-domain does not substantially contribute to the overall phenotype of the RIM1/2 double KO mice.

**Discussion**

Many proteins are expressed in multiple isoforms encoded by similar genes, prompting the question of the redundancy vs. uniqueness of the various functions of the genes. Here, we have addressed this issue for the different functions of RIM proteins in neurotransmitter release. With redundancy, we mean the existence of parallel pathways to achieve a function, either by expression of a homologous gene or by a molecularly distinct mechanism, whereas with compensation we mean the increased use of a redundant pathway whose increased use is induced by a gene’s mutation. In a way, compensation is a variant of redundancy that operates when the default pathway for a function is inactivated. RIM proteins are central components of the active zone with essential functions in neurotransmitter release and presynaptic plasticity (4, 6, 9, 10, 15, 16, 30, 31; Table S1). Using conditional KO mice in which expression of the Rims1 or Rims2 gene or both can be acutely inactivated by cre-recombination, we have explored the nature, extent, and isoform dependence of these functions. We answered two major questions.
First, we tested whether RIM proteins are similarly redundant for different functions in release, e.g., their actions in vesicle priming, exocytosis, and Ca^{2+}-channel tethering. Our data indicate that the RIM1 and RIM2 genes exhibit surprisingly different degrees of redundancy for different functions (Table 1). Whereas the single RIM KOs significantly impaired spontaneous and evoked neurotransmitter release, they had no effect on the parameters of release that depend on Ca^{2+} influx—the apparent Ca^{2+} affinity, synchrony, and speed of release (Fig. 1–Fig. 3). These parameters were only changed upon deletion of both RIM genes, with large effect sizes, confirming the major function of RIM proteins in localizing Ca^{2+} influx to active zones (14, 18). In contrast, RIM1 and RIM2 separately contribute to the amount of release and synaptic vesicle priming at inhibitory hippocampal synapses in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 1–Fig. 3 and Figs. S1–S4). Thus, RIMs operate additively during vesicle priming, and neither RIM gene can compensate completely for the loss of the other in priming.

Second, given that RIMs were implicated in two different functions affecting Ca^{2+} channels—namely Ca^{2+}-channel tethering and the modulation of their inactivation kinetics—we asked whether these functions synthetically and/or redundantly contribute to the overall role of RIM proteins in neurotransmitter release. RIM proteins recruit Ca^{2+} channels to active zones (14, 18) and prolong Ca^{2+}-channel opening via inhibiting voltage-dependent Ca^{2+}-channel inactivation. It was proposed that the latter effect involves binding of the RIM C2B domain to auxiliary Ca^{2+}-channel β-subunits, which was suggested to also “lock” vesicles to Ca^{2+} channels (15, 16, 23). Here, we show that the RIM C2B-domain modulates Ca^{2+}-channel inactivation in vitro, but probably does so by a mechanism dependent on the α-subunit of the Ca^{2+} channels because the modulation was not observed for L-type Ca^{2+} channels (Fig. 4). Most importantly, we observed that RIM2γ, which potently decreased Ca^{2+}-channel inactivation in transfected HEK293T cells, did not detectably rescue any aspect of the phenotype of RIM1/2 double KO neurons. Thus, the activity of the RIM C2B-domain in modulating voltage-dependent Ca^{2+}-channel inactivation does not significantly contribute to the synaptic functions of RIM proteins. This result is in strong contrast to the major role of RIM proteins in tethering Ca^{2+} channels to the active zone via their PDZ domain and via RIM-BPs (14, 18), and of a RIM fragment containing both of its two C2 domains to boost neurotransmitter release in RIM1/2 double-deficient neurons without enhancing Ca^{2+} influx (14).

**Experimental Procedures**

**Mice.** Floxed RIM1α and RIM2αβ conditional KO mice (4, 14) were bred as homozygous single or double mutant mice for RIM1 (RIM1αfl/fl/2), RIM2 (RIM2αβfl/fl/2), or both (RIM1αfl/fl/2; RIM2αβfl/fl/2). All experiments were performed according to institutional guidelines.

**Neuronal Cultures and Lentiviruses.** Primary hippocampal neuronal cultures were prepared from newborn pups of RIM1αfl/fl/2; RIM2αβfl/fl/2, or RIM1αfl/fl/2; RIM2αβfl/fl/2 mice according to standard protocols (4, 21). Hippocampi of newborn offspring were isolated within 24 h after birth, digested with papain or trypsin, and plated onto coated glass coverslips. Glial growth was controlled by continuous presence of cytosine arabinoside starting at 2–3 d in vitro (DIV). Lentiviruses expressing GFP-tagged cre-recombinase, a recombination deficient deletion mutant thereof, or cre-recombinase and expressing a partial RIM C2B-domain expression (19, 21) were generated in transfected HEK293T cells as described (21, 22), and the HEK293T cell supernatant containing the lentiviruses was harvested 48 h after transfection. Cell debris was removed by centrifugation (5 min at 750 × g), and neuronal cultures were infected with freshly prepared lentiviruses contained in the HEK293T cell supernatant 3–5 d after plating.

**Electrophysiology in Neurons.** Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed in cultured hippocampal neurons at DIV 13–15 as described (14, 20, 27). The extracellular solution contained 140 mM NaCl, 4 mM KCl, 2 mM CaCl2, 2 mM MgCl2, 10 mM Heps-NaOH at pH 7.3, and 10 mM glucose, with 315 mOsm. Glass pipettes (3–5 MΩ) were filled with an internal solution containing 145 mM GClG, 5 mM NaCl, 10 mM Hepes-CsOH at pH 7.3, 10 mM EGTA, 4 mM MgATP, and 0.3 mM NaGTP, with 305 mOsm. IPSCs were elicited by a local stimulus electrode in the presence of 10 μM CNQX and 50 μM APV. For RRP measurements, 0.5 M hypertonic sucrose was applied by gravity for 30 s in the presence of 1 μM TTX, 20 μM CNQX, and 50 μM APV. The order of perfusion was alternated but always began and ended with 2 mM Ca^{2+}. Neurons showing more than 15% deviation in amplitude size between an initial and the final IPSC measurement at 2 mM Ca^{2+} were excluded from the analysis. Amplitudes were fitted as a function of [Ca^{2+}]_i with the Hill equation ($I = I_{max} / (1 + EC_{50}[Ca^{2+}]_i^n)$, where $I$ is the amplitude, $I_{max}$ is the maximal current, $EC_{50}$ the [Ca^{2+}]_i at which $I$ is 50% of $I_{max}$ and $n$ the apparent Ca^{2+} cooperativity; no constraints were used for the

---

**Fig. 5.** Effect of RIM2γ on the extracellular Ca^{2+} dependence of neurotransmitter release and on the priming of synaptic vesicles. Release was measured in RIM1αfl/fl/2;RIM2αβfl/fl/2 neurons expressing inactive (control) or active cre-recombinase (cre), or cre + RIM2γ expressed biocistronically from the same lentivirus as described (14, 19, 21). (A) Sample traces of single evoked IPSCs, the extracellular Ca^{2+}-concentration ([Ca^{2+}]_o) was varied as indicated. (B) Summary graphs of absolute IPSC amplitudes. (C) Summary graphs of normalized IPSC amplitudes normalized to the response at 10 mM [Ca^{2+}]_o. (D) EC_{50} (Left; [Ca^{2+}]_o that elicits 50% of the maximal response) and apparent Ca^{2+} cooperativity of release (Right), determined by fitting each single experiment shown in B to a Hill function (24). (E) Sample traces of IPSCs evoked by 0.5 M sucrose application from RIM1αfl/fl/2;RIM2αβfl/fl/2 neurons after cre and control infection, or infection with a virus expressing cre + RIM2γ. (F) Summary graphs of the synaptic charge transfer during the initial (1–10 s) and the steady-state (15–30 s) phases of the sucrose response are shown. Data shown are means ± SEMs; statistical significance: **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001 was determined by one-way ANOVA (B and C) and Student’s t test (D and F), with control, n = 5/2; cre, n = 5/2; cre + RIM2γ; n = 4/2 for B–D; and control, n = 8/3; cre, n = 10/3; cre + RIM2γ, n = 10/3 for F.
fittings. mEPSCs and mlIPSCs were recorded in the presence of 1 μM TTX plus either 50 μM picrotoxin and 50 μM APV (mEPSCs) or 10 μM CNQX and 50 μM D-APV (mlIPSCs), respectively. Data were acquired with a multiclamp 700B amplifier by using pClamp9 sampled at 10 kHz. For all electrophysiological experiments, the experimenter was blind to the condition/genotype of the cultures analyzed.

**Electrophysiology in Transfected HEK293T Cells.** HEK cells were transfected with lipofectamine with the following Ca²⁺-channel subunits: rat pCMV-Ca²⁺,2.2 or rat pCDNA4-Ca²⁺,1.2; rat pCDNA3-o2c; and human pCDNA3-αβγ. In addition, either pCMV-RIM1α or pCMV-RIM2α (and pCMV-mVenus as a marker in all conditions) were cotransfected. Whole-cell recordings were carried out at room temperature (22–24 °C) 48 h after transfection with glass pipettes at 2–4 MΩ resistance. Currents were sampled at 10 kHz and filtered at 2 kHz. Leak currents were subtracted by a p/4 protocol. The external solution contained 10 mM BaCl₂, 140 mM TEA-Cl, 10 mM Hepes, 50 μM M picrotoxin and 50 μM M CaCl₂.

**Mini EPSC amplitude** - Fig. 3, refs. 4, 19
**Mini EPSC frequency** - Fig. 5, refs. 4, 19
**Mini IPSC frequency** - Fig. 3, refs. 4, 19
**Everted IPSC amplitude** - Fig. 1–Fig. 3, refs. 4, 14, 19
**Everted EPSC amplitude** - Ref. 14
**Synchronization of release** - Fig. 2, ref. 14

**Ca²⁺ responsiveness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>RIM1αβ KO</th>
<th>RIM2αγ KO</th>
<th>RIM1αβ 2αγ KO DKO</th>
<th>Source or refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mini EPSC amplitude</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓↓ ↓↓</td>
<td>Fig. S3, ref. 4, 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini EPSC frequency</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓↓ ↓↓</td>
<td>Fig. S3, ref. 4, 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini IPSC frequency</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓↓ ↓↓</td>
<td>Fig. S3, ref. 4, 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ca²⁺]₀, EC₅₀</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓↓ ↓↓</td>
<td>Fig. 1, ref. 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ca²⁺]₀, n</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓↓ ↓↓</td>
<td>Fig. 1, ref. 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRP</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓↓ ↓↓</td>
<td>Fig. S4, refs. 14, 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data summarize the results obtained in the cited references or figures. ↔, no change; ↓, decrease; n.d., not determined.
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**Table 1. Summary of redundancy among RIM1 and RIM2 gene functions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>RIM1αβ KO</th>
<th>RIM2αγ KO</th>
<th>RIM1αβ 2αγ KO DKO</th>
<th>Source or refs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mini EPSC amplitude</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓↓ ↓↓</td>
<td>Fig. S3, ref. 4, 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini EPSC frequency</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓↓ ↓↓</td>
<td>Fig. S3, ref. 4, 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mini IPSC frequency</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓↓ ↓↓</td>
<td>Fig. S3, ref. 4, 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ca²⁺]₀, EC₅₀</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓↓ ↓↓</td>
<td>Fig. 1, ref. 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Ca²⁺]₀, n</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓↓ ↓↓</td>
<td>Fig. 1, ref. 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRP</td>
<td>↓↓</td>
<td></td>
<td>↓↓ ↓↓</td>
<td>Fig. S4, refs. 14, 19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data summarize the results obtained in the cited references or figures. ↔, no change; ↓, decrease; n.d., not determined.
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